

a 2, p. 21. The order had not been  
the judge (P.G.) or rather <sup>was not</sup> ~~not~~ <sup>possibly</sup>  
on a 61, but was not well received  
by his brothers-in-law, certainly because  
he had quarreled with his wife  
(<sup>of whom</sup> ~~for whom~~ he accuses only himself).

The letter proper contains solely  
pleasant expression of thanks (a con-  
gratulation - for what of course was  
known to both sides)

The P.S. contains the personal  
matter. Sarcastic about elder brother  
but in a more explicit way  
also to other.

His wife had complained  
to her brothers <sup>about</sup> ~~not~~ to her father

The letter excellent example for  
the contrast between the <sup>facile</sup> ~~facile~~ <sup>will be</sup> ~~will be~~  
the real person.

Good

a 2, p 21 not used in Med. Sec. Oct. 9/81